



Form 8A: Committee decision for DIS

Secretariat: JISC	ISO/TC 268/SC 1
Project number and title: ISO/CD 37162 - Smart community infrastructures -- Smart transportation for new towns	

This form should be sent to the ISO Central Secretariat (<http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/si/>), together with the draft of the project, by the secretariat of the technical committee or subcommittee concerned.

The accompanying document is submitted for circulation to member body vote: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As a DIS
Consensus has been obtained from the P-members of the committee: on 2018-08-04 <input type="checkbox"/> At the meeting of . See Resolution number . In document N . <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By ballot initiated on 2018-06-07 Please attach a copy of the ballot results (if applicable)

Listing of the P-members (NWIP, CD or Resolution)
P-members in favour: 11 Canada (SCC), Japan (JISC), Korea, Republic of (KATS), Philippines (BPS), Romania (ASRO), Russian Federation (GOST R), Rwanda (RSB), Sri Lanka (SLSI), Ukraine (DSTU), United Kingdom (BSI), United States (ANSI)
P-members voting against: 1 Germany (DIN)

<p>P-members abstaining: 11</p> <p>Austria (ASI), Denmark (DS), France (AFNOR), Greece (NQIS ELOT), India (BIS), Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI), Netherlands (NEN), Norway (SN), South Africa (SABS), Spain (UNE), Sweden (SIS)</p>
<p>P-members who did not vote: 1</p> <p>China (SAC)</p>
<p>Remarks:</p> <p>ISO/TC268/SC1/WG3 took the following recommendation at Moscow on 2018-10-15</p> <p>Moscow – Recommendation 3</p> <p>WG 3 asks SC 1 to submit a revised version of the document, ISO/TC 268/SC 1/WG 3 N 122 (ISO/TC 268/SC 1 N 290) Smart community infrastructures — Smart transportation for new towns, for DIS voting.</p> <p>Also, ISO/TC268/SC1 took the resolution to approve the WG3 recommendation on their resolution.</p>

<p>I hereby confirm that this draft meets the requirements of Part 2 of the ISO/IEC Directives:</p>		
<p>Secretariat:</p> <p>JISC</p>	<p>Date:</p> <p>2018-10-19</p>	<p>Name/Signature of TC/SC Secretary:</p> <p>Chiba, Yusuke Mr</p>

PREVIEW

Result of voting

Ballot Information

Ballot reference	ISO/CD 37162
Ballot type	CD
Ballot title	Smart community infrastructures -- Smart transportation for new towns
Opening date	2018-06-07
Closing date	2018-08-02
Note	Please note that at the time of new work item proposal, this project was originally numbered as "ISO 23415". This number was modified to ISO 37162 in order to use sequence number used in ISO/TC268/SC1 (namely, ISO 371XX)

Member responses:

Votes cast (23)	Austria (ASI) Canada (SCC) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Greece (NQIS ELOT) India (BIS) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Philippines (BPS) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R) Rwanda (RSB) South Africa (SABS) Spain (UNE) Sri Lanka (SLSI) Sweden (SIS) Ukraine (DSTU) United Kingdom (BSI) United States (ANSI)
------------------------	---

Comments submitted (0)

Votes not cast (1) China (SAC)

Questions:	
Q.1	"Do you approve the circulation of the draft as a DIS?"

Votes by members	Q.1
Austria (ASI)	Abstention
Canada (SCC)	Approval with comments
Denmark (DS)	Abstention
France (AFNOR)	Abstention
Germany (DIN)	Disapproval
Greece (NQIS ELOT)	Abstention
India (BIS)	Abstention
Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI)	Abstention
Japan (JISC)	Approval with comments
Korea, Republic of (KATS)	Approval with comments
Netherlands (NEN)	Abstention
Norway (SN)	Abstention
Philippines (BPS)	Approval
Romania (ASRO)	Approval
Russian Federation (GOST R)	Approval
Rwanda (RSB)	Approval
South Africa (SABS)	Abstention
Spain (UNE)	Abstention
Sri Lanka (SLSI)	Approval
Sweden (SIS)	Abstention
Ukraine (DSTU)	Approval
United Kingdom (BSI)	Approval
United States (ANSI)	Approval with comments

Answers to Q.1: "Do you approve the circulation of the draft as a DIS?"		
7 x	Approval	Philippines (BPS) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R) Rwanda (RSB) Sri Lanka (SLSI)

		Ukraine (DSTU) United Kingdom (BSI)
4 x	Approval with comments	Canada (SCC) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) United States (ANSI)
1 x	Disapproval	Germany (DIN)
11 x	Abstention	Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Greece (NQIS ELOT) India (BIS) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS) Spain (UNE) Sweden (SIS)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Canada (SCC)	Comment File	2018-07-27 19:53:57
Germany (DIN)	Comment File	2018-07-30 11:11:42
Japan (JISC)	Comment File	2018-07-23 06:28:36
Korea, Republic of (KATS)	Comment File	2018-07-27 10:40:08
United States (ANSI)	Comment File	2018-08-01 19:58:09

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2018-10-15

Document: ISO/CD 37162

Project:

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
US 001	Various			ge	The terms "BRT", "LRT", "AGT" and "MRT" need to be defined in the document.	BRT-bus rapid transit. LRT- light rail transit. AGT-automated guide rail transit. MRT- mass rapid transit.	Definitions are not needed but explanations on the abbreviations.
DE 002				te	In Germany, many of the intended regulations are prescribed by public law. For example, there is the principle of "public use", which does not allow the allocation of vehicles in the proposed form. This should also apply to other countries, so that the allocation must be made under "complete legal compliance". As a result, the standard draft should be rejected.	The draft standard is not suitable for worldwide application. Accordingly, this must be completely revised or withdrawn.	Rejected. This document does not designate regular transportation but proposes transportation services to overcome specified city issues.
DE 003				te	Neither is the definition of "new towns" sufficient, nor are there overwhelming arguments for specific transportation rules for those so-called "new towns".	"New towns" do not generally exist in Germany and cannot therefore be used.	See CA005.
DE 004				te	Information is provided on specific statements, e.g. on the timing of public transport connections (max. 10 minutes in the rushing hour) and the distances between stops (max. 300 m).	If this is necessary at all, such requirements should be discussed and specified in the sector-specific standardization.	Transportation conditions listed up are common in the transportation field.
CA 005					This draft is not well substantiated on the concept of "new town." The definition of "new town" in the draft is not sufficient or correct. It should also be acknowledged that "new town" planning has a long history and its use varies with region and culture, and that its effects on sustainable living are positive and negative.		Accepted. Change the term of "New town" to "Newly development area" in the whole document including the standard title. Change the definition to: areas newly planned and developed in virgin or desolate premises for introduction of citizens, where public transportation services are required NOTE Newly development areas have been fondly called "bedroom towns," "new towns," "satellite towns" and "edge cities."

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2018-10-15	Document: ISO/CD 37162	Project:
-----------------	------------------------	----------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
KR 006		Introduction	3 rd para.	ed	Verify “from-place-to place” from a grammatical point of view. Which one: “from place to place”, “from place-to-place”, “from-place-to place”, “from-place-to-place” or something else?		“from place to place.”
KR 007		Introduction	6 th line of 6 th para.	ed	A typo in “a combinations of”.	Choose either one from two alternatives: “ a combinations of” or “a combinations of”.	Thank you!
KR 008		3.1 and 3.2		ed	The terms defined should be referred to as they are. If they are proper nouns, “New town” and “Transportation for new towns” can be used. If they are not, the capital letters should be in lowercase. Noting that “new town” is being referred to in the document, the new terms shall be in lowercase.	Modify as follows: “ N new town” and “ T ransportation for new towns”.	Agreed.
JP 009		4.1		te	Any Smart transportation should work to achieve UN’s SDGs. Make what SDGs are achieved by smart transportation clear.	Add a new paragraph below after the last one: This smart transportation contributes to and aims at satisfying Sustainable Development Goals by United Nations, especially Goal 3 “Good health and well-being,” Goal 8 “Decent work and economic growth,” Goal 9 “Industry, innovation and infrastructure,” Goal 11 “Sustainable cities and communities” and Goal 15 “Life on land.”	Accepted.
KR 010		4.3		ed	According to sub-clause “22.3.3 Hanging paragraphs” of ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, the hanging text shall be avoided for unambiguous reference. The hanging text shall be provided as another sub-clause.	Fix it as follows: 4.3 Transportation modes for new towns 4.3.1 General <i>Transportation services to be used for new towns have two purposes or goals to transport citizens within a new town and between it and existing city centers.</i> 4.3.24 Transportation modes applicable within new towns”	Thank you for pointing out this.
KR 011		4.3.1		ed	The hanging text shall be provided as another sub-clause.	Fix it with following KR4 as follows : 4.3.24 Transportation modes applicable within new towns 4.3.2.1 General <i>For internal services in a new town, a transportation mode should be selected so as not to cause traffic issues after application. Internal services consist of</i>	Accepted and should reedit it.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2018-10-15	Document: ISO/CD 37162	Project:
-----------------	------------------------	----------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
						<p><i>basic networks by bus and main transportation services by BRT, LRT, AGT and/or MRT as shown in Figure A.1 in Annex A.</i></p> <p><i>To select suitable main transportation modes to be used besides bus networks, consider the following criteria and/or features of respective transportation modes by checking town planning. Basically, however, main transportation modes are normally selected as illustrated in Figure A.1, which depend on the population and population density planned for the new town.</i></p> <p>4.3.2.21.1 BRT</p> <p>4.3.2.31.2 LRT</p> <p>4.3.2.41.3 AGT</p> <p>4.3.2.51.4 MRT</p> <p>4.3.32 Transportation modes applied between a new town and outside areas”</p>	
KR 012		5.3		te	The title and the content of 5.3 are not likely to be matched. Also the 1 st sentence of 5.3.1 states “conditions” for selection of transportation modes.	Modify the title as follows: “5.3 <u>Conditions for s</u>Selection of transportation modes”	Thank you once again.
KR 013		5.3.1	1 st and 3 rd lines	ed	“5.3.2 to 5.3.20” provides a listing number of items. But there are no other items after 5.3.15.	Fix the sub-clause number as follows: “5.3.2 to 5.3.1520”	Also, thank you!
KR 014		6.3		te	<p>Here two comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The title is “Modification of smart transportation”. Any title had better be a goal to be met rather than an action. “Modification” is not a goal but an action. For example, “Adaptation requirements for smart transportation against changed conditions” is a goal-based title. The 1st paragraph contains a few actions to be required. The requirements should be provided in an itemized form for better readability. 		<p>Both accepted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Change the title to: Re-optimization of smart transportation conditions Change the last sentence to: In case the modification is not successful, change the mode currently used for smart transportation to another mode listed in 4.3.1 or <u>abandon</u>.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2018-10-15	Document: ISO/CD 37162	Project:
-----------------	------------------------	----------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
------------------------	----------------	----------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------	----------	-----------------	------------------------------------

D:\ISO\data\prod_iso_comment-collation\work\temp\CCT-Tables\ISO_CD 37162_ANSI.doc: Collation successful

D:\ISO\data\prod_iso_comment-collation\work\temp\CCT-Tables\ISO_CD 37162_DIN.doc: Collation successful

D:\ISO\data\prod_iso_comment-collation\work\temp\CCT-Tables\ISO_CD 37162_JISC.doc: Collation successful

D:\ISO\data\prod_iso_comment-collation\work\temp\CCT-Tables\ISO_CD 37162_KATS.doc: Collation successful

D:\ISO\data\prod_iso_comment-collation\work\temp\CCT-Tables\ISO_CD 37162_SCC.doc: Collation successful

Collation of files was successful. Number of collated files: 5

SELECTED (number of files): 5

PASSED TEST (number of files conformed to CCT table model): 5

FAILED TEST (number of files conformed to CCT table model): 0

CCT - Version 2018.1

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial